1	THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4982		
2	Oronoz & Ericsson, LLC 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 tom@oronozlawyers.com		
3			
4			
5	Attorney for Javier Lugo Leyva		
6	IINITED STATES DIS	TDICT CAUDT	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9		CASE NO.: 2:18-cr-400-JAD-EJY-2	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
11	Plaintiff,	SENTENCING	
12	VS.	(SIXTH REQUEST)	
13	JAVIER LUGO LEYVA, aka JOSE JULIAN CORDOVA OBRADOR, aka PEDRO		
14	CASTRO-MEDINA,		
15	Defendant.		
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by Defendant Javier Lugo Leyva, by		
17	and through his attorney, Thomas A. Ericsson, Esq., and the United States of America, by and		
18	through Nicholas A. Trutanich, United States Attorney, and Kevin Schiff, Assistant United		
19	States Attorney, that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for October 19, 2020, at the		
20	hour of 11:00 a.m., be vacated and continued for at least ninety (90) days to a date and time		
21	that is convenient to this Honorable Court.		
22	The request for a continuance is based upon	the following:	
23	Due to the national health crisis because of	COVID-19, the parties request that the	
24	sentencing in this case be continued. Defer employee, and Defense Counsel is trying to	nse Counsel's firm has a very high-risk	
25	this time.	minimize comact with phone spaces at	
26	2. Mr. Leyva is in custody and will be serving	a minimum of a ten (10) year sentence.	
27	Mr. Leyva will not be prejudiced by the cor		

1	3. Counsel for Mr. Leyva has spoken with AUSA Kevin Schiff, and the Government agrees to the continuance.		
2 3	4. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good		
4	cause, change any time limits prescribed in this rule."		
5	5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for the purposes of undue delay.		
6	6. Additionally, denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.		
7	D / 775		
8	DATED: October 15, 2020		
9	Respectfully submitted,		
10	/s/ The	omas A. Ericsson /s/	/ Kevin Schiff
11	Thoma	nas A. Ericsson, Esq. K	evin Schiff, Esq. ssistant United States Attorney
12	1050 I	Indigo Dr., Suite 120 D	istrict of Nevada
13		•	01 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 as Vegas, Nevada, 89101
14			ttorney for the United States of America
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	1		

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO.: 2:18-cr-400-JAD-EJY-2 5 Plaintiff, 6 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER VS. 7 JAVIER LUGO LEYVA, aka JOSE JULIAN 8 CORDOVA OBRADOR, aka PEDRO CASTRO-MEDINA, 9 Defendant. 10 11 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 12 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the 13 Court finds: 14 1. Due to the national health crisis because of COVID-19, the parties request that the 15 sentencing in this case be continued. Defense Counsel's firm has a very high-risk employee, and Defense Counsel is trying to minimize contact with public spaces at 16 this time. 17 2. Mr. Leyva is in custody and will be serving a minimum of a ten (10) year sentence. 18 Mr. Leyva will not be prejudiced by the continuance. 19 3. Counsel for Mr. Leyva has spoken with AUSA Kevin Schiff, and the Government agrees to the continuance. 20 21 4. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good 22 cause, change any time limits prescribed in this rule." 23 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for the purposes of undue delay. 24 6. Additionally, denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of 25 justice. 26 27 28 Page 3

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public in proceeding with the sentencing hearing as scheduled, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the defendant the opportunity to appear for his sentencing hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Sentencing date in this matter scheduled for October 19, 2020, be vacated and continued to January 25, 2021, at 2:00 p.m.

Dated: October 15, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE